

Columbia University: Arts & Sciences
A&S Fall 2020 Standard Evaluation

Course: ECONUN1105_001_2020_3-PRINCIPLES OF ECONOMICS: ECONUN1105_001_2020_3 - PRINCIPLES OF ECONOMICS

Instructor: John Cremin, Sunil Gulati

Eric Heiser, Anna Connell, Dian Jiao, James Jiang, Matt Simons, Yotam Segal, Samantha Slusher, Marina Lemos, Kosha Modi*, Olufemi Olaleye

1 - What are the strengths and weaknesses of Kosha Modi (discussion section leader, lab section leader, grader) as an instructor, and how might Kosha Modi's teaching be improved?

Response Rate 11/299 (3.68%)

- Kosha had a great way of explaining the material. She had unparalleled understanding of the material and was really cool!
- Ms. Modi is excellent teacher assistant.
- eloquent, and patient could ask more questions in labs to push the students to really think (before giving the answer)
- Kosha Modi was my discussion section leader and she was great. During discussions, she took her time and made sure her explanations of the material were thorough. She gave the students plenty of time to ask questions that she would then answer to the best of her ability. If Kosha could engage the class more in discussion sections, that would make her even better!
- N/A
- She is good at explaining confusing concepts. However, she does not seem very excited nor motivated and the energy can be a bit down.
- She was always ready to answer any questions I had.
- Kosha was extremely clear and in depth in her explanations of topics. She was very helpful in answering questions.
- I really liked Kosha. She was a great TA.
- Very committed to her students, and always willing to meet extra to fully explain concepts.
- I switched out of her section. She covered material far too quickly and didn't stop to explain when asked questions. Perhaps she improved as the semester went on, but I wasn't there.

2 - What is the overall teaching effectiveness of Kosha Modi in the current modality?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Excellent	(5)	7	29.17%		<p>3.92</p>
Very Good	(4)	10	41.67%		
Good	(3)	6	25.00%		
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%		
Poor	(1)	1	4.17%		
				0 25 50 100	Question
Response Rate			Mean	STD	Median
24/299 (8.03%)			3.92	0.97	4.00